

America Needs A Multi-State Future Interstate Highway Formula Funding Program

Program Ended in 1992


- ❑ There is currently NO dedicated federal funding to plan and construct Future Interstate Highways.
- ❑ Work on the Interstate System began in the 1950s with 90% guaranteed federal funding.
- ❑ The original system was largely complete by 1985 and officially ended in 1992.
- ❑ Starting in 1991 the High Priority Corridors concept recognized the need to expand the system but for three decades no dedicated federal funding has been forthcoming. Not a single congressionally authorized multi-state Future Interstate has been completed.
- ❑ A renewed federal commitment to expanding the Interstate System is needed.

Time For A Solution

- ❑ Congress should make a Multi-State Future Interstate Highways Formula Funding program part of the next federal surface transportation reauthorization bill with dedicated funding.
- ❑ Funding would be distributed to State DOTs based on the number of unconstructed miles of multi-state authorized Future Interstate in each state.
- ❑ States would be required to use the formula funding to plan and construct multi-state interstates.
- ❑ Guaranteed federal funds for the program would be in addition to each state's Highway Trust Fund rate of return calculation.
- ❑ States would have the flexibility to determine annual priorities for corridor development.

Impacts of IH System Are Dramatic

- ❑ The Interstate Highway System has enabled a cumulative \$3 to \$5 Trillion in added national GDP since 1970.
- ❑ Freight costs per ton-mile have dropped 55% due to gains in efficiency.
- ❑ Rural counties with interstate access report 19% higher per capita income than those counties without.
- ❑ Future interstate corridors will replicate this strong return on investment.



A dedicated funding mechanism is essential to completing vital interstate corridors. Congress should act with the same boldness that built the Interstate System 70 years ago.



**Congressionally Authorized
Future Interstate Coalition**

Meeting the Challenges of the 21st Century

The United States stands at a crossroads. As our population has doubled, our economy has expanded more than sixty-fold, and trade has surged by 14,000% since development of the Interstate Highway System began in 1956. The nation's transportation network no longer matches modern demands. It is time to renew our national vision with a dedicated funding structure to support construction of congressionally designated Future Interstates.

Program goals include:

- ☐ Enhanced safety
- ☐ Expansion of National connectivity
- ☐ Improve freight efficiency
- ☐ Support for National Defense
- ☐ Increase roadway resilience
- ☐ Support regional economic development
- ☐ Address emergency evacuation

The nation needs a renewed federal commitment to expanding and modernizing the Interstate Highway System to meet 21st-Century transportation, economic and security challenges.




22 States Include a Segment of a Current Congressionally Authorized Multi-State Future Interstate Highway

- ☐ Renewed investment in interstate highways will support the US manufacturing renaissance
- ☐ These 22 states include 56% of the nation's population and 60% of all manufacturing jobs
- ☐ 72% of all freight tonnage travels by truck on the nation's roadways
- ☐ High design standards mean that Interstate System highways are the nation's safest
- ☐ Highway construction creates jobs during planning, development and construction and leads to new permanent job growth in local communities

Expanding Interstate System and Creating Economic Development Opportunities

The Alliance for I-69 Texas, the I-27 Ports-to-Plains Alliance and the I-14 Gulf Coast Strategic Highway Coalition have joined to spearhead a national effort to address the need for this new federal funding program for designated multi-state Future Interstates.



Connect with
CAFI on LinkedIn

Contacts

Jennifer Shepard
Executive Director
Alliance for I-69 Texas
Jshepard@hslawmail.com
(703) 963-3822

Don Rodman
Coalition Administrator
I-14 Coalition
don@therodmanco.com
(361) 877-0409


Lauren Garduño
President/CEO
Ports-to Plains Alliance (I-27)
lauren.garduno@portstoplains.com
(325) 514-4114

David Pore
Partner
Hance Scarborough LLP
dpore@hslawmail.com
(202) 223-8881



**Congressionally Authorized
Future Interstate Coalition**

Corridors Authorized by Congress As Multi-State Future Interstate Highways



June 2026

— Existing Interstate Highway System. Future IH Corridor Colors Added for Clarity Only.



***Congressionally Authorized
Future Interstate Coalition***

Organizations Voicing Support For Multi-State Future Interstate Highway Formula Funding Program

Acadiana Planning Commission, LA
Alliance for I-69 Texas, TX
Amarillo MPO, TX
Area Development Foundation of Val Verde County, TX
Big Spring Area Chamber of Commerce, TX
Big Spring Economic Development Corp.
Bowman County
Box Butte County
Box Butte Development Corporation
Cameron County, TX
Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority, TX
Cameron Economic Development Corp., TX
Central Louisiana Regional Chamber of Commerce, LA
Chattahoochee Valley Infrastructure Coalition, GA, AL
City of Alliance
City of Amarillo, TX
City of Belfield, ND
City of Belle Fourche, SD
City of Big Spring, TX
City of Bowman, ND
City of Chadron, NE
City of Clayton, NM
City of Del Rio, TX
City of Dumas, TX
City of Eagle Pass, TX
City of Gering, NE
City of Hale Center, TX
City of Kimball, NE
City of Lamar, CO
City of Lemesa, TX
City of Lubbock, TX
City of Midland, TX
City of Odessa, TX
City of O'Donnell, TX
City of Raton, NM
City of San Angelo, TX
City of Scottsbluff, NE
City of Tahoka, TX
City of Watford City, ND
City of Williston, ND
Ciudad Acuña, Coahula
Communities Strong LLC, CO
Dawes County Travel Board, NE
Dawes County, NE
Del Rio Chamber of Commerce, TX
Ector County, TX
Greater Vernon Chamber of Commerce, LA
Heartland Expressway Association, NE
Horry County, SC
Howard County, TX

I-14 Gulf Coast Strategic Highway Coalition,
TX, LA, MS, AL, GA
I-27 Ports-to-Plains Alliance
TX, NM, OK, CO, NE, WY, SD, ND, MT
Keep Big Spring Beautiful, TX
Kimball County, NE
Kiowa County, CO
Lamesa Chamber of Commerce, TX
Lamesa Economic Development Corp, TX
Laredo Economic Development Corp., TX
Leonardite Products LLC, ND
Lincoln County EDC, CO
Lincoln County, CO
Lubbock Economic Development Alliance, TX
Lynn County, TX
Marion County, SC
Market Lubbock EDC, TX
Marshall Economic Development Corp., TX
McKenzie County Job Development Authority, ND
McKenzie County, ND
Midland County, TX
Monticello Economic Development Commission, AR
Moore County, TX
MOTRAN - Midland-Odessa Transportation Alliance, TX
New Orleans Regional Planning Commission, LA
North East Texas Regional Mobility Authority
Northwest Louisiana Council of Governments, LA
Northwest Nebraska Development Corporation, NE
Panhandle Regional Planning Commission
Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission, TX
Port of Corpus Christi Authority, TX
Rapides Area Planning Commission, LA
Ryan International, Inc., TX
Saint Clair County EDA, MI
Scotts Bluff County, NE
Shiawassee Economic Development Partnership, MI
Sonora EDC, TX
South Central Planning & Development Commission, LA
Stark Development Corporation, ND
Sutton County, TX
Theodore Roosevelt Expressway Association, ND
Tom Green County, TX
Town of Hugo, CO
Town of Limon, CO
Twin Cities Development, NE
Union County, NM
Val Verde County, TX
Vernon Parish Police Jury, LA
Vision West, ND
Western Region Economic Development, ND
Williams County, ND
Williston Area Chamber of Commerce, ND